Restaurant Chain Case Study









ActiveView HDISM

Trusted Health Department Intelligence

Health Department Inspection Data Gaps: ONE ECOLAB CUSTOMER'S STORY

A successful national restaurant chain collected health department inspections internally for over two years.

Ecolab was asked to collect two years of history using ActiveView HDI to compare the results.

Using the *ActiveView HDI* system, Ecolab discovered three critical inspection gaps:

- The customer's internal system collected 639 inspections while ActiveView HDI collected 897 inspections!
- The customer collected 47% of their worst inspections.* ActiveView HDI collected 100%!
- Health inspection reports can be difficult to interpret and units often self-reported the number of violations incorrectly. The number of violations units reported versus the actual number of violations differed for 40% of the inspections.



^{*} Worst Inspections categorized by inspections with the highest number of violations.

1. Critical Inspection Gap: Customers missed inspection reports.

Customer collected 639 inspections

ActiveView HDI collected 897 inspections

2. Critical Inspection Gap: Not all units faxed in their worst inspections.

Units self-reported 47% of their worst inspections.* ActiveView HDI collected 100%!

Customer self-reported 14 inspections.

ActiveView HDI collected 30 inspections.

Unit	Date	Violations	HDI	Cust
А	9/21/10	13	х	-
В	8/23/11	12	x	-
С	12/7/10	11	x	Х
D	4/5/11	11	x	Х
Е	6/22/11	11	x	Х
F	8/5/11	11	х	-
G	6/3/11	10	х	Х
Н	3/25/10	10	x	Х
I	12/14/11	10	x	-
J	6/1/11	10	x	-
K	10/19/11	10	х	-
L	4/20/10	10	х	-
М	9/30/10	10	х	-
N	12/28/11	10	x	х
0	10/7/11	9	х	-
Total C	ollected		15	6

Unit	Date	Violations	HDI	Cust
Р	8/18/10	9	x	Х
Q	11/23/10	9	x	-
R	10/19/10	9	x	-
S	4/16/10	9	x	-
Т	12/9/11	9	x	-
U	9/7/10	9	х	-
V	5/5/11	8	x	Х
W	6/15/10	8	x	х
Χ	1/20/10	8	x	Х
Υ	10/7/11	8	x	х
Z	8/10/10	8	x	Х
AA	2/7/11	8	х	Х
AB	11/4/10	8	х	х
AC	12/22/11	8	х	-
AD	11/9/2011	8	х	-
Total Collected			15	8

^{*} Worst Inspections categorized by inspections with the highest number of violations.

3. Misinterpreting Data: Inspection forms are difficult to read and interpret.

The number of violations units self-reported versus the actual number of violations differed for 40% of the inspections.

Unit #	Inspection Date	Customer Report	Actual	Difference
Α	8/18/2010	2	9	7
В	5/28/2010	1	6	5
С	4/13/2011	0	4	4
D	9/8/2010	3	5	2
E	11/15/2011	0	1	1
F	12/8/2010	4	3	-1
G	9/14/2010	1	0	-1
Н	1/22/2010	2	0	-2
I	7/6/2010	8	3	-5
J	12/13/2010	7	3	-4

Table reflects the difference between the customer's self-reported number of violations versus the actual number of violations that occurred on the original inspection report for each date.

